

# Parts and Subword Patterns in Integer Compositions

Drew Sills

Georgia Southern University

PANTS XXIV

Emory University

September 12, 2015

# Acknowledgment

Work of D.S. during 2014–2015 was partially supported by grant H98230-14-1-0159 from the National Security Agency

Joint work with

- Brian Hopkins, St. Peter's University
- Thotsaporn “Aek” Thanatipanonda, Mahidol University
- Hua Wang, Georgia Southern University

A *composition*  $\sigma$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a tuple

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of positive integers such that

$$n = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_l.$$

A *composition*  $\sigma$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a tuple

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of positive integers such that

$$n = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_l.$$

Each  $\sigma_j$  is a *part* of the composition  $\sigma$ .

A *composition*  $\sigma$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a tuple

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of positive integers such that

$$n = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_l.$$

Each  $\sigma_j$  is a *part* of the composition  $\sigma$ .

The *weight*  $|\sigma|$  of the composition  $\sigma$  is the sum of its parts.

A *composition*  $\sigma$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a tuple

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of positive integers such that

$$n = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_l.$$

Each  $\sigma_j$  is a *part* of the composition  $\sigma$ .

The *weight*  $|\sigma|$  of the composition  $\sigma$  is the sum of its parts.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  denote the set of all compositions of  $n$ .

A *composition*  $\sigma$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a tuple

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of positive integers such that

$$n = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_l.$$

Each  $\sigma_j$  is a *part* of the composition  $\sigma$ .

The *weight*  $|\sigma|$  of the composition  $\sigma$  is the sum of its parts.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  denote the set of all compositions of  $n$ .

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$



A *partition* of  $n$  is a composition

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$$

of  $n$  in which  $\sigma_i \leq \sigma_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1$ .

# Odds and “Runs”

14 odds:

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$$

# Odds and “Runs”

14 odds:

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$$

14 runs:

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$

# Counting odds and “runs”

$n$	$OP(n) = R(n)$	$EP(n)$
1	1	0
2	2	1
3	6	2
4	14	6
5	34	14
6	78	34
7	178	78
8	398	178
9	882	398
10	1934	882

# Counting odds and “runs”

$n$	$OP(n) = R(n)$	$EP(n)$
1	1	0
2	2	1
3	6	2
4	14	6
5	34	14
6	78	34
7	178	78
8	398	178
9	882	398
10	1934	882

A059570

# Evens and levels

A *level* in a composition  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$  is an instance where  $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

# Evens and levels

A *level* in a composition  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l)$  is an instance where  $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{(4), (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$

# Bijection between even parts and levels

even part $2k$	the level $k, k$
<u>4</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>22</u>	<u>112</u>
<u>22</u>	<u>211</u>
<u>211</u>	<u>1111</u>
<u>121</u>	<u>1111</u>
<u>112</u>	<u>1111</u>



The number of parts that are multiples of  $m$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$  equals the number of instances of  $m$  consecutive equal parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

Is there a nice bijection between odd parts and runs?

$$|\mathcal{C}_{n+1}| = 2|\mathcal{C}_n|.$$

$$|\mathcal{C}_{n+1}| = 2|\mathcal{C}_n|.$$

$\{3, 21, 12, 111\}, \{3, 21, 12, 111\}$

↓

$\{13, 121, 112, 1111, 4, 31, 22, 211\}$

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$

# Notation

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts

# Notation

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts
- $IE(n) :=$  number of initial even parts

# Notation

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts
- $IE(n) :=$  number of initial even parts
- $R(n) :=$  number of runs



- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts
- $IE(n) :=$  number of initial even parts
- $R(n) :=$  number of runs
- $IB(n) :=$  number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  in which  $\sigma_1 > 1$

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts
- $IE(n) :=$  number of initial even parts
- $R(n) :=$  number of runs
- $IB(n) :=$  number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  in which  $\sigma_1 > 1$
- $IL(n) :=$  number of initial levels, i.e. number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  such that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$

- $OP(n) :=$  number of odd parts in  $\mathcal{C}_n$
- $IO(n) :=$  number of initial odd parts
- $IE(n) :=$  number of initial even parts
- $R(n) :=$  number of runs
- $IB(n) :=$  number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  in which  $\sigma_1 > 1$
- $IL(n) :=$  number of initial levels, i.e. number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  such that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$
- $IS(n) :=$  number of initial “steps,” i.e. number of compositions  $\sigma$  of  $n$  such that  $\sigma_1 + 1 = \sigma_2$

# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

$$R(n+1) = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))$$

# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

$$R(n+1) = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))$$

Check that  $OP(1) = R(1)$  and assume the induction hypothesis  $R(n) = OP(n)$  for some  $n$ ;

# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

$$R(n+1) = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))$$

Check that  $OP(1) = R(1)$  and assume the induction hypothesis  $R(n) = OP(n)$  for some  $n$ ;

Now  $R(n+1) = OP(n+1)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n)) \\ = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))\end{aligned}$$

# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

$$R(n+1) = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))$$

Check that  $OP(1) = R(1)$  and assume the induction hypothesis  $R(n) = OP(n)$  for some  $n$ ;

Now  $R(n+1) = OP(n+1)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n)) \\ = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))\end{aligned}$$

iff (by I.H. and  $|\mathcal{C}_n| = I1(n) + IB(n)$ )

$$IE(n) - IO(n) = IL(n) - IS(n) - I1(n)$$



# Induction Proof

$$OP(n+1) = (OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n))$$

$$R(n+1) = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))$$

Check that  $OP(1) = R(1)$  and assume the induction hypothesis  $R(n) = OP(n)$  for some  $n$ ;

Now  $R(n+1) = OP(n+1)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(OP(n) + |\mathcal{C}_n|) + (OP(n) + IE(n) - IO(n)) \\ = (R(n) + IB(n)) + (R(n) + IL(n) - IS(n))\end{aligned}$$

iff (by I.H. and  $|\mathcal{C}_n| = I1(n) + IB(n)$ )

$$IE(n) - IO(n) = IL(n) - IS(n) - I1(n)$$

iff

$$\cancel{IE(n)} - IO(n) = \cancel{IL(n)} - IS(n) - I1(n)$$

iff

$$IS(n) = IO(n) - I1(n)$$

for which there is a simple bijection.

iff

$$IS(n) = IO(n) - I1(n)$$

for which there is a simple bijection. RHS counts compositions of  $n$  with initial part  $k$  both odd  $\geq 3$ .

iff

$$IS(n) = IO(n) - I1(n)$$

for which there is a simple bijection. RHS counts compositions of  $n$  with initial part  $k$  both odd  $\geq 3$ .

$$(k, \dots) \leftrightarrow \left( \frac{k-1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \dots \right)$$

# The mapping for $n = 4$

odd part	run
1111	4
1111	13
1111	112
1111	1111
112	31
112	112
121	121
13	13
13	121
211	22
211	211
31	211
31	31

- Let  $P(i, m; n)$  denote the number of parts congruent to  $i \pmod{m}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

- Let  $P(i, m; n)$  denote the number of parts congruent to  $i \pmod{m}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
- Let  $S(\tau; n)$  denote the number of subword pattern matches to  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

- Let  $P(i, m; n)$  denote the number of parts congruent to  $i \pmod{m}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
- Let  $S(\tau; n)$  denote the number of subword pattern matches to  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .
- Unlike with the usual pattern matching/avoidance, the patterns must occur in consecutive parts.



- Let  $P(i, m; n)$  denote the number of parts congruent to  $i \pmod{m}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
- Let  $S(\tau; n)$  denote the number of subword pattern matches to  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .
- Unlike with the usual pattern matching/avoidance, the patterns must occur in consecutive parts.
- For example, a *level* is the subword matching the pattern  $\tau = 11$ .

Let  $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2 \cdots \tau_l$  be a subword pattern of length  $l$  in which

$$1 = \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \cdots \leq \tau_l \leq l.$$

and  $S(\tau; n)$  is as before. If we simultaneously think of  $\tau$  as a partition and  $|\tau|$  is its weight, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} S(\tau; n)x^n = \frac{x^{|\tau|}(1-x)^2}{(1-2x)^2(1-x^l)} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x^{|\tau|}(1-x)^2}{(1-2x)^2(1-x^l)} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} \\ &= \frac{1-x}{1-2x} \cdot \frac{x^l}{1-x^l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{x^{(l-j)(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} \cdot \frac{1-x}{1-2x}. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x^{|\tau|}(1-x)^2}{(1-2x)^2(1-x^l)} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} \\ &= \frac{1-x}{1-2x} \cdot \frac{x^l}{1-x^l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{x^{(l-j)(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} \cdot \frac{1-x}{1-2x}. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first and last factors of (1) are both

$$\frac{1-x}{1-2x} = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathcal{C}_n| x^n$$

while the middle factor of (1) is

$$\frac{x^l}{1-x^l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{x^{(l-j)(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathcal{P}_n(T)| x^n$$

while the middle factor of (1) is

$$\frac{x^l}{1-x^l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{x^{(l-j)(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathcal{P}_n(T)| x^n$$

where  $T$  is the set of parts appearing in  $\tau'$ , when  $\tau$  is interpreted as a partition rather than a subword pattern and  $\mathcal{P}_n(T)$  is the set of all partitions of  $n$  with parts in  $T$  and each member of  $T$  appears at least once as a part.

while the middle factor of (1) is

$$\frac{x^l}{1-x^l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{x^{(l-j)(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}}{(1-x^{l-j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathcal{P}_n(T)| x^n$$

where  $T$  is the set of parts appearing in  $\tau'$ , when  $\tau$  is interpreted as a partition rather than a subword pattern and  $\mathcal{P}_n(T)$  is the set of all partitions of  $n$  with parts in  $T$  and each member of  $T$  appears at least once as a part.

# Example

**Table :** An example of the correspondence for  $S(\mathbf{112223}, 13) = 8$ .

member of $\mathcal{C}_{13}$	corresponding ordered triple
<b>1112223</b>	$(11, 146, \emptyset)$
<b>11122231</b>	$(1, 146, 1)$
<b>1112224</b>	$(1, 1146, \emptyset)$
<b>11222311</b>	$(\emptyset, 146, 11)$
<b>1122232</b>	$(\emptyset, 146, 2)$
<b>1122241</b>	$(\emptyset, 1146, 1)$
<b>112225</b>	$(\emptyset, 11146, \emptyset)$
<b>2112223</b>	$(2, 146, \emptyset)$



# Generating Functions

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} P(i, m; n)x^n = \frac{x^i(1-x)^2}{(1-2x)^2(1-x^m)}$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(1, 2; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n)$$

$$P(2, 2; n) = S(11; n)$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(1, 2; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n)$$

$$P(2, 2; n) = S(11; n)$$

$$P(1, 3; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n) - S(1^3; n) + S(112; n) - 2S(122; n)$$

$$P(2, 3; n) = S(11; n) - S(112; n) + 2S(122; n)$$

$$P(3, 3; n) = S(1^3; n)$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(1, 2; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n)$$

$$P(2, 2; n) = S(11; n)$$

$$P(1, 3; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n) - S(1^3; n) + S(112; n) - 2S(122; n)$$

$$P(2, 3; n) = S(11; n) - S(112; n) + 2S(122; n)$$

$$P(3, 3; n) = S(1^3; n)$$

$$P(1, 4; n) = S(1; n) - S(11; n) - S(1^3; n) + S(1122; n) - S(12^3; n) \\ - S(1223; n) + 2S(1233; n)$$

$$P(2, 4; n) = S(11; n) - S(1^4; n)$$

$$P(3, 4; n) = S(1^3; n) - S(1122; n) + S(12^3; n) \\ + S(1223; n) - 2S(1233; n)$$

$$P(4, 4; n) = S(1^4; n)$$

$$P(i, m; n) = \left\lfloor \frac{2^{n+m-i-2} \left( (n-i+3)(2^m - 1) - m \right)}{(2^m - 1)^2} \right\rfloor,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the integer nearest to  $x$ .

Thank you for your  
attention!